

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 OECD P 17441 01 OF 03 071538Z

46

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 EA-10 IO-10 ISO-00 OES-05 NSF-02 H-02 JUSE-00

AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 EB-07 FRB-01 INR-07

NEA-10 NSAE-00 OPIC-06 SP-02 TRSE-00 CIEP-02 LAB-04

SIL-01 OMB-01 FTC-01 OIC-02 L-03 STR-04 AGR-10 PRS-01

PA-02 USIA-15 SS-15 NSC-05 INT-05 HEW-06 EURE-00

TAR-01 /159 W

----- 076716

P R 071522Z JUL 75

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASH DC PRIORITY 7844

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY MADRID

AMEMBASSY HELSINKI

AMEMBASSY ATHENS

AMEMBASSY DUBLIN

AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG

AMEMBASSY WELLINGTON

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LISBON

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY BERN

AMEMBASSY ANKARA

AMEMBASSY BELGRADE

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 OECD P 17441 01 OF 03 071538Z

USMISSION NATO

USMISSION GENEVA  
USMISSION USUN

UNCLAS SECTION 01 OF 03 OECD PARIS 17441

PASS: NSF FOR STEVER, HOUSE COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY FOR CONGRESSMEN DOWNING AND MOSHER, EUR/RPE FOR LAMB, OES FOR HEMILY, NSF/STPO FOR GRANGER, OFFICE OF BUSINESS PRACTICES FOR LOCKWOOD, BOND, USMISSION EC BRUSSELS FOR DAVIDOW, DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, ANTITRUST DIVISION, USMISSION ECE GENEVA, AIDAC

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: TGEN, OECD

SUBJECT: OECD COMMITTEE FOR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL POLICY: SUMMARY REPORT ON MEETING AT MINISTERIAL LEVEL, JUNE 24-25, 1975

REF: OECD PARIS 16623

1. SUMMARY. THE FOLLOWING REPORT SUPPLEMENTS THE OFFICIAL COMMUNIQUE, THE FULL TEXT OF WHICH WAS GIVEN IN REF TEL. THE HIGHLIGHT WAS US PROPOSAL FOR AN OECD INITIATIVE TO INTENSIFY COOPERATIVE RESEARCH ON THE TOTAL FOOD SYSTEM. END SUMMARY.

2. OECD MINISTERS OF SCIENCE MET ON JUNE 24-25 TO DISCUSS "SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY IN THE MANAGEMENT OF COMPLEX PROBLEMS". THE CANADIAN MINISTER OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, DRURY, WAS CHAIRMAN, AND THE AUSTRALIAN AND ITALIAN MINISTERS, CAMERON AND PEDINI, WERE VICE-CHAIRMEN. THE UNITED STATES DELEGATION WAS LED BY DR H. GUYFORD STEVER, SCIENCE ADVISER TO THE PRESIDENT, ADVISED BY CONGRESSMEN DOWNING AND MOSHER, HOUSE COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY.

3. DISCUSSION OF THE GENERAL THEME WAS BROKEN DOWN INTO SIX MAIN ISSUES EACH BEING INTRODUCED BY A VOLUNTEER MINISTER: (A) THE ROLE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY IN POLICY MAKING (AUSTRALIA), (B) MANAGEMENT OF UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 OECD P 17441 01 OF 03 071538Z

THE RESEARCH SYSTEM (FRANCE), (C) SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND SOCIETY (GERMANY), (D) NEED FOR PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT (NETHERLANDS), (E) NEW VISION OF NATURAL RESOURCES (US), COMBINED WITH (F) INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION.

4. IN ADDITION TO THE LEAD STATEMENT, INCLUDING A MAJOR PROPOSAL UNDER COMBINED ISSUES (E) AND (F) (SEE BELOW), THE US SPOKE TO OTHER ISSUES AS FOLLOWS: (A) ROLE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY IN POLICY MAKING.

CONGRESSMAN MOSHER DESCRIBED CURRENT TRENDS OF THOUGHT IN THE US, BOTH IN CONGRESS AND THE ADMINISTRATION, WITH RESPECT TO CHANGES IN SCIENCE POLICY MECHANISMS, AIMING GENERALLY AT CLOSER INTEGRATION OF SCIENCE POLICY WITH THE OVERALL NATIONAL POLICY AND SOCIETY. CONGRESSMAN DOWNING SPOKE TO AND UNDERSCORED THE US POSITION OF STRONG SUPPORT FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDIES OF SOCIETAL NEEDS, FOR INTERNATIONAL MECHANISMS TO MEET THOSE NEEDS, AND FOR IMPROVING RESEARCH UTILIZATION AND INNOVATION IN THIS CRUCIAL FIELD. (D) NEED FOR PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT. BENGELSDORF (OES) EMPHASIZED THE NEED FOR COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF OECD MEMBER-COUNTRY PRACTICE, POINTING OUT THAT THEORIZING ABOUT PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT IS ONE THING, USUALLY VERY DIFFERENT FROM REAL-LIFE SITUATIONS. OECD MIGHT WELL UNDERTAKE A STUDY OF DIFFERENT METHODS OF PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT RELATED TO THE COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF MEMBER COUNTRY PRACTICE, AND TO

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 OECD P 17441 02 OF 03 071600Z

46

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 EA-10 IO-10 ISO-00 OES-05 NSF-02 H-02 JUSE-00

AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 EB-07 FRB-01 INR-07

NEA-10 NSAE-00 OPIC-06 SP-02 TRSE-00 CIEP-02 LAB-04

SIL-01 OMB-01 FTC-01 OIC-02 L-03 STR-04 AGR-10 PRS-01

PA-02 USIA-15 SS-15 NSC-05 INT-05 HEW-06 EURE-00

TAR-01 /159 W

----- 077005

P R 071522Z JUL 75

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASH DC PRIORITY 7845

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA  
AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN  
AMEMBASSY MADRID  
AMEMBASSY HELSINKI  
AMEMBASSY ATHENS  
AMEMBASSY DUBLIN  
AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK  
AMEMBASSY ROME  
AMEMBASSY TOKYO  
AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG  
AMEMBASSY WELLINGTON  
AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE  
AMEMBASSY LISBON  
AMEMBASSY LONDON  
AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM  
AMEMBASSY BERN  
AMEMBASSY ANKARA  
AMEMBASSY BELGRADE  
USMISSION EC BRUSSELS  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 OECD P 17441 02 OF 03 071600Z

USMISSION NATO  
USMISSION GENEVA  
USMISSION USUN

UNCLAS SECTION 02 OF 03 OECD PARIS 17441

THE INSTITUTIONAL INNOVATION CONSIDERED APPROPRIATE TO  
DEAL WITH DIFFERENT APPROACHES.

5. THE US PROPOSAL FOR AN OECD FOOD INITIATIVE WAS  
PRESENTED BY DR. STEVER IN THE FRAMEWORK OF HIS LEAD  
STATEMENT ON "A NEW VISION OF NATURAL RESOURCES" WHICH  
HE DEALT WITH FROM THE VIEWPOINT OF INTENSIFIED INTER-  
NATIONAL COOPERATION AND COORDINATION. (DETAILS OF US  
PROPOSAL ARE GIVEN IN STATE 133814, REPEATED TO ALL  
OECD CAPITALS.) CONSIDERABLE INTEREST WAS EXPRESSED IN  
THE US PROPOSAL, WHICH WILL BE DEVELOPED BY THE  
SECRETARIAT FOR PRESENTATION TO THE OECD DIRECTORS OF  
AGRICULTURE AT THEIR FALL 1975 MEETING. NO COUNTRY  
EXPRESSED OPPOSITION, BUT OF THE FOURTEEN MINISTERS WHO  
REFERRED DIRECTLY TO THE US FOOD PROPOSAL ALL BUT ONE  
(AUSTRIAN MINISTER) WERE CONCERNED THAT INTENSIFIED  
COOPERATION BE CARRIED BEYOND OECD, INVOLVING FAO AND  
OTHER APPROPRIATE INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS. THE  
AUSTRIAN MINISTER MADE VERY CLEAR HIS PREFERENCE FOR  
"GETTING ON WITH THE JOB" IN THE OECD, AND, WITHOUT  
OPEN CRITICISM, MADE NO SECRET OF HIS FEELING THAT THE

FAO IS TOO LARGE AND UNWIELDY TO INITIATE THE SPECIAL KIND OF COOPERATION ENVISAGED BY THE US. HE ENJOINED THE SECRETARY-GENERAL TO MOVE QUICKLY, STATING THAT "THE EYES OF THE THIRD WORLD ARE ON THE OECD", WHERE CONCRETE ACTION IS EXPECTED TO DEAL WITH THE INCREASINGLY URGENT WORLD FOOD PROBLEM. THIS, HE CONCLUDED, WOULD BE IN LINE WITH THE RECENT INITIATIVE OF THE OECD MINISTERS TO ESTABLISH HIGH LEVEL GROUPS ON COMMODITIES AND NORTH-SOUTH RELATIONS RESPECTIVELY. THE AUSTRALIAN MINISTER, ALTHOUGH NOT OPPOSING THE US PROPOSAL, FELT STRONGLY THAT OTHER ORGANIZATIONS, SUCH AS THE RECENTLY CREATED WORLD FOOD COUNCIL, MIGHT BE BETTER EQUIPPED TO MANAGE UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 OECD P 17441 02 OF 03 071600Z

SUCH AN INITIATIVE. IN A SECOND INTERVENTION, HE AGREED WITH OTHER MINISTERS IN REQUESTING THAT THE PROPOSAL BE DEVELOPED IN DETAIL, AND SUBMITTED TO THE OECD DIRECTORS OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH. (THE SECRETARY-GENERAL COMMITTED HIMSELF TO DEVELOPING THE US PROPOSAL TO THIS END, BUT THE DEPARTMENT MAY WISH TO ENSURE THAT THE INITIATIVE AT THE SCIENCE MINISTERIAL IS FOLLOWED UP RAPIDLY BY A FURTHER DRAFT SUBMISSION, WORKED OUT IN DETAIL IN COLLABORATION WITH USDA, FOR TRANSMITTAL TO THE SECRETARIAT IN AUGUST/ SEPTEMBER.

6. THE SECOND US PROPOSAL WAS THAT THE CSTP, IN COLLABORATION WITH THE OECD INDUSTRY COMMITTEE (A) UNDER-TAKE AN ANALYSIS OF TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS THAT WILL INFLUENCE THE CHARACTER OF THE DEMAND FOR MATERIALS IN OECD COUNTRIES, AND (B) DEFINE POSSIBILITIES FOR IMPROVED FLEXIBILITY IN MEETING SUCH FUTURE DEMAND THROUGH MATERIALS RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, WITH EMPHASIS ON THE EFFICIENCY OF MATERIALS UTILIZATION AND RECOVERY, AND ON POSSIBILITIES OF SUBSTITUTION. THIS PROPOSAL ALSO AROUSED CONSIDERABLE INTEREST, WITH SEVERAL REQUESTS FOR MORE DETAILS. (DEPARTMENT MAY AGAIN WISH TO ENSURE THAT A MORE DETAILED PROPOSAL IS PREPARED IN TIME FOR THE OCTOBER CSTP MEETING).

7. MISSION COMMENTS. DURING AND AFTER THE MEETING, MANY PERMDELS - REFLECTING THE VIEWS OF THEIR MINISTERS - EXPRESSED THEIR SATISFACTION THAT THE US HAD PROVIDED CONCRETE PROPOSALS FOR IDENTIFIABLE CSTP PROGRAM ACTIVITIES IN 1976 AND BEYOND. OTHER "HARD" ISSUES WERE OF COURSE MENTIONED - TECHNOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT CAME UP SEVERAL TIMES AS AN INCREASINGLY SIGNIFICANT METHODOLOGY; A STRONG STATEMENT BY THE AUSTRALIAN MINISTER AT THE OUTSET OF THE MEETING ON THE NEED TO

CONTROL MINES SO AS NOT TO INHIBIT INDIGENOUS SCIENCE  
AND TECHNOLOGY WAS NOT FOLLOWED-UP BY OTHERS; THERE WAS  
HIGH INTEREST IN CONTINUING THE COLLECTION AND  
ANALYSIS OF R AND D STATISTICS, AND STRONG EMPHASIS  
OF THE NEED FOR PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND ENLIGHTENMENT  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 OECD P 17441 02 OF 03 071600Z

WITH REGARD TO SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY IN THE DECISION  
PROCESS, AND EFFECTS ON SOCIETY. BUT,  
ENVELOPING ALL THESE, AND EVEN THE US PROPOSALS, WAS  
THE ISSUE OF HOW TO HARMONIZE RELATIONSHIPS WITH  
DEVELOPING COUNTRIES IN ORDER TO INTEGRATE THEIR  
RESOURCES AND OECD TECHNOLOGY IN A MANNER SATISFACTORY  
TO BOTH SIDES. THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE OPPOSITION,  
EXPRESSED STRONGLY BY THE NORWEGIAN AND NETHERLANDS  
MINISTERS, WELL SUPPORTED ROUND THE TABLE, TO ANY

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 OECD P 17441 03 OF 03 071541Z

46  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 EA-10 IO-10 ISO-00 OES-05 NSF-02 H-02 JUSE-00

AID-05 CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 EB-07 FRB-01 INR-07

NEA-10 NSAE-00 OPIC-06 SP-02 TRSE-00 CIEP-02 LAB-04

SIL-01 OMB-01 FTC-01 OIC-02 L-03 STR-04 AGR-10 PRS-01

PA-02 USIA-15 SS-15 NSC-05 INT-05 HEW-06 EURE-00

TAR-01 /159 W  
----- 076786

P R 071522Z JUL 75  
FM USMISSION OECD PARIS  
TO SECSTATE WASH DC PRIORITY 7846  
INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA  
AMEMBASSY VIENNA  
AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS  
AMEMBASSY OTTAWA  
AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN  
AMEMBASSY MADRID  
AMEMBASSY HELSINKI  
AMEMBASSY ATHENS  
AMEMBASSY DUBLIN  
AMEMBASSY REYKJAVIK  
AMEMBASSY ROME  
AMEMBASSY TOKYO  
AMEMBASSY LUXEMBOURG  
AMEMBASSY WELLINGTON  
AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE  
AMEMBASSY LISBON  
AMEMBASSY LONDON  
AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM  
AMEMBASSY BERN  
AMEMBASSY ANKARA  
AMEMBASSY BELGRADE  
USMISSION EC BRUSSELS  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 OECD P 17441 03 OF 03 071541Z

USMISSION NATO  
USMISSION GENEVA  
USMISSION USUN

UNCLAS SECTION 03 OF 03 OECD PARIS 17441

SUGGESTION OF QUID PRO QUO IN THE TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY  
TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES.

8. IN THIS RESPECT, PARAGRAPH 136 OF SPT/MIN(75)4,  
THE BACKGROUND PAPER ON "SCIENCE POLICY AND THE MANAGEMENT  
OF NATURAL RESOURCES", WAS STRUCK OUT, SINCE MANY  
FELT IT MIGHT BE INTERPRETED BY THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES  
AS OFFENSIVE TO THEM. DURING THE DISCUSSION OF THE  
U.S. PROPOSAL ON FOOD, THE TURKISH MINISTER, WHILE  
FULLY SUPPORTING THE PROPOSAL, INDICATED HIS  
ASSUMPTION THAT NO SUGGESTION OF REQUESTING A QUID PRO  
QUO FROM THE LDC'S WAS IMPLIED. THE U.S. SHOULD BE  
PREPARED TO DEAL WITH THIS KIND OF ATTITUDE IN THE JULY  
17-18 MEETING TO BE HOSTED BY OECD/CSTP FOR UNCTAD  
GROUP B COUNTRIES TO DISCUSS THE GROUP OF 77 DRAFT CODE  
OF CONDUCT FOR THE TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY TO DEVELOPING  
COUNTRIES (SEE STATE 130636, OECD PARIS 15927, STATE  
152204, OECD PARIS 16930). THE JULY 17-18 MEETING AND

FOLLOW-UP IN OCTOBER WILL BE BOTH A PRELUDE TO THE  
NOVEMBER EXPERT GROUP SESSIONS, AND AN OPPORTUNITY FOR  
FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF OECD'S FUTURE PROGRAMS ON  
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER.

TURNER

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** SCIENTIFIC COOPERATION, TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE, TEXT, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, MINISTERIAL MEETINGS, MEETING REPORTS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 07 JUL 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975OECDP17441  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750233-1180  
**From:** OECD PARIS  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750781/aaaacucf.tel  
**Line Count:** 390  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 8  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 75 OECD PARIS 16623  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** ShawDG  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 02 JAN 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <02 JAN 2003 by ThomasVJ>; APPROVED <22 MAR 2004 by ShawDG>  
**Review Markings:**  

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** OECD COMMITTEE FOR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL POLICY: SUMMARY REPORT ON MEETING AT MINISTERIAL LEVEL  
**TAGS:** TGEN, OECD  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006